EXHIBIT "D-2"

Plaintiff,

vs. No.: 19-CV-844 (BKS) (TWD)

ONONDAGA COUNTY; CITY OF SYRACUSE; WILLIAM FITZPATRICK; DR. ERIK MITCHELL; AND "JOHN DOES 1-10",

Transcript of the Videotaped Examination

Before Trial of SIDNEY MANES, a Witness called

for the purpose of discovery, taken on August 22,

2022, by Zoom Video Conference, taken before

Angela S. Stangel, Court Reporter and Notary

Public, pursuant to Notice.

ANGELA S. STANGEL Court Reporter 10455 Webster Hill Road Boonville, New York 13309 (315) 827-4010

1		APPEARANCES			
2	For	Plaintiff:			
3	DV.	RICKNER, PLLC			
4	ы.	ROB RICKNER, ESQ. 14 Wall Street, Suite 1603 New York, New York 10005			
5		BOUSQUET HOLSTEIN, PLLC			
6	BY:	JAMES SONNEBORN, ESQ. 360 S. Clinton Street			
7		Syracuse, New York 13202			
8	BY:	THE LAW OFFICES OF JOSHUA MOSKOVITZ, P.C. JOSHUA MOSKOVITZ, ESQ.			
9		392 Central Avenue, 7803 Jersey City, New Jersey 07303			
10					
11					
12	For	Defendants Fitzpatrick and Mitchell:			
13	BY:	ROBERT F. JULIAN, PC ROBERT F. JULIAN, ESQ.			
14	,	2037 Genesee Street Utica, New York 13501			
15					
16	For	Defendant Onondaga County:			
17	BY:	ONONDAGA COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF LAW MARK VENTRONE, ESQ. 421 Montgomery Street Syracuse, New York 13202			
18	,				
19		Syldedde, New York 19202			
20	VIDE	EOGRAPHER: TIM POTTER, PARROTTA STUDIO			
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

1	STIPULATIONS
2	
3	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED
4	that the transcript may be signed before any Notary
5	Public with the same force and effect as if signed
6	before a clerk or Judge of the Court; and it is
7	
8	FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that
9	this deposition may be utilized for all purposes as
10	provided by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure; and
11	it is
12	
13	FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that
14	all rights provided to all parties by the Federal Rules
15	of Civil Procedure shall not be deemed waived and the
16	appropriate sections of the Federal Rules of Civil
17	Procedure shall be controlling with respect thereto.
18	
19	************
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	INDE	X OF	WITNE	s s	
2					
3	WITNESS				PAGE
4	SIDNEY MANES				
5	By Mr. Jul	ian			6
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

1	VIDEOGRAPHER POTTER: We are on the
2	record at 2:06 p.m. Today is Monday,
3	August 22, 2022. I am Tim Potter of
4	Parrotta Studio located at 350 Merchants
5	Road, Rochester, New York. We
6	conducting this deposition via video
7	conference. We are about to begin the
8	video recorded deposition of Sidney L.
9	Manes in the matter of Sidney Manes,
10	Administrator of the Estate of Hector
11	Rivas, plaintiff, v Onondaga County,
12	City of Syracuse, William Fitzpatrick,
13	Doctor Erik Mitchell, and John Does 1
14	through 10, defendants. In attendance
15	is the court reporter Angela Stangel of
16	Boonville, New York. At this time the
17	attorneys will identify themselves and
18	the parties they represent, after which
19	our court reporter will swear in the
20	witness and we may proceed.
21	MR. RICKNER: Rob Rickner, Rickner,
22	PLLC for plaintiff as administrator,
23	Sidney Manes.
24	MR. MOSKOVITZ: Josh Moskovitz,
25	also for the plaintiff.

1	MR. SONNEBORN: James Sonneborn of
2	the Law Firm Bousquet Holstein for
3	Mr. Manes, as I am of counsel to the
4	firm as general counsel to the estate,
5	not counsel for the litigation.
6	MR. JULIAN: Bob Julian for the
7	defendants Fitzpatrick and Mitchell.
8	MR. VENTRONE: Mark Ventrone for
9	the defendant County of Onondaga.
10	SIDNEY L. MANES, having been
11	called as a Witness, being first duly sworn, was
12	examined and testified as follows under oath:
13	SIDNEY L. MANES BY MR. JULIAN:
14	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Manes. Before
15	commencing this deposition today, did you have an
16	opportunity to review your previous deposition?
17	A. No.
18	Q. You haven't read the deposition that you
19	gave on May 31, 2022?
20	A. Yes, I think I do, yeah.
21	Q. My question is have you read it before
22	coming here
23	A. No.
24	Q to testify today?
25	A. No. I am sorry to say, Mr. Julian, I

1	did not.
2	Q. Okay. At that deposition we left off
3	asking some questions about paragraph 75 of
4	Exhibit A. Could you go now to page 13?
5	MR. RICKNER: Can you identify
6	Exhibit A for the record.
7	MR. JULIAN: Exhibit A is the First
8	Amended Complaint.
9	A. I am reading it, yes.
10	MR. RICKNER: I believe it's being
11	partially cut off by the video window,
12	so if you could move it over what on
13	your screen would be roughly an inch
14	because I think we might be losing words
15	on the right side.
16	(Whereupon, a discussion was held
17	off the record.)
18	MR. RICKNER: Now we can see all
19	the words.
20	BY MR. JULIAN:
21	Q. Mr. Manes, if you look at paragraph 74
22	it states "to the Grand Jury, Mitchell presented
23	fabricated and false testimony and suppressed and
24	concealed the truth in an effort to secure Hector
25	Rivas' prosecution, indictment, and conviction at

SIDNEY L. MANES BY MR. JULIAN

- 1 all costs." Do you see that?
- 2 A. Yes, I do.
- 3 Q. What is your basis for making that
- 4 allegation?
- 5 MR. RICKNER: Objection as to form.
- 6 You can answer.
- 7 A. As I recall, I read some of the
- 8 testimony from the Grand Jury, and in that
- 9 testimony, there was a reference to by
- 10 Doctor Mitchell that he had read his notes and
- 11 slides. And that those slides were subsequently,
- as I recall, in the document, I think,
- 13 Fitzpatrick used those words the slides to
- 14 present to the Grand Jury, which indicted Hector
- 15 Rivas. And there were subsequent documents, I
- 16 think it might be referenced in here, but I don't
- see at all, that those slides never existed.
- 18 MR. JULIAN: Tim, could you pull up
- 19 KK, please?
- 20 (Whereupon, a discussion was held
- off the record.)
- MR. RICKNER: Can you please
- identify KK for the record?
- MR. JULIAN: I will.

- 1 BY MR. JULIAN:
- 2 Q. This, Mr. Manes, is a copy of
- 3 Doctor Mitchell's Grand Jury testimony. I ask
- 4 you to look at it, review it, and direct me to
- 5 where Doctor Mitchell mentioned slides or
- 6 discussed slides or testified about slides to the
- 7 Grand Jury?
- 8 A. I need to read the whole document,
- 9 please.
- 10 Q. Sure.
- 11 (Whereupon, a discussion was held
- off the record.)
- 13 BY MR. JULIAN:
- 14 Q. Let me know when you completed your
- 15 reading?
- 16 A. There is no more pages. I have
- 17 completed my reading.
- 18 Q. Doctor Mitchell testified before the
- 19 Grand Jury. Did you see anywhere in his
- testimony where he mentioned slides?
- 21 A. No, did not.
- MR. JULIAN: Can we go back to
- Exhibit A, Tim, page 13, please?
- 24 (Whereupon, a discussion was held
- off the record.)

- 1 BY MR. JULIAN:
- Q. Mr. Manes, you said in paragraph 75 that
- 3 Fitzpatrick and Mitchell deliberately withheld
- 4 from the Grand Jury the fact that Mitchell had
- 5 previously determined that Hill's homicide had
- 6 occurred at the absolute earliest in the
- 7 afternoon of Saturday, March 28, 1987. Do you
- 8 see that?
- 9 A. Yeah, I see it.
- 10 Q. In your deposition when we took a break
- I asked you the following question, can you
- direct me to any document written or signed by
- 13 Erik Mitchell that said that Hill's homicide had
- occurred at the absolute earliest in the
- 15 afternoon of March 28, 1987. Do you recall that?
- 16 A. Would you say it again, please,
- 17 Mr. Julian?
- 18 Q. Certainly. The question that I asked
- you when we broke up and you did not answer was
- 20 can you direct me to any document written or
- 21 signed by Doctor Erik Mitchell that said that
- 22 Hill's homicide had occurred at the absolute
- earliest in the afternoon of Saturday, March 28,
- 24 1987?
- A. Mr. Julian, I don't understand your

- 1 question.
- 2 Q. Okay. Let me ask it this way. To your
- 3 knowledge, did Doctor Mitchell ever put in
- 4 writing an opinion that Ms. Hill died either on
- 5 Saturday or Sunday?
- 6 A. I read the warrant that was issued to
- 7 examine Mr. Hector Rivas' home and that affidavit
- 8 was by a police officer, Mr. Phinney, I think his
- 9 name was who testified. In the affidavit
- submitted to the judge that the time of death,
- 11 which came from the medical examiner, that she
- 12 had died Saturday night or Sunday morning.
- 13 Q. My question of you is do you have
- anything written or authored or signed by
- 15 Doctor Mitchell to that effect?
- 16 A. His testimony that Mr. Fitzpatrick
- 17 brought out at the time of the trial said that he
- 18 renewed his review of the notes and slides.
- 19 That's what he testified to and he did that under
- 20 oath.
- Q. What I am asking you is can you tell me,
- 22 was there any opinion that you can direct me to
- by Doctor Mitchell that was in writing prior to
- 24 his testimony that indicated that he believed the
- cause of death to be Saturday or Sunday authored

- 1 by Doctor Mitchell?
- 2 A. Well, he gave an interview to the
- 3 newspaper. He also, as I said, he told the
- 4 police the time of death by an affidavit that
- 5 they signed. And that he testified under oath
- 6 that there were notes and slides that he read
- 7 before he testified.
- 8 Q. Mr. Manes, the interview to the
- 9 newspaper, did that interview -- is it your
- 10 testimony that that interview quoted
- 11 Doctor Mitchell?
- 12 A. I'm sorry, I can't answer that unless I
- 13 see the Post Standard article.
- 14 O. Apart from a newspaper article, in all
- the documents that you have reviewed, can we
- 16 agree that nowhere in those documents did
- 17 Doctor Mitchell put in writing an opinion that
- 18 Ms. Hill died on Saturday or Sunday?
- MR. RICKNER: Objection as to form,
- those documents.
- MR. JULIAN: Yeah, that's fine. He
- can answer the question, I assume.
- MR. RICKNER: You can answer.
- A. No. There is no signed statement that I
- know that I reviewed about the time of death that

- 1 he had testified to or signed a document. I
- don't know about that. Maybe he did. I have no
- 3 idea.
- 4 (Whereupon, a discussion was held
- 5 off the record.)
- 6 BY MR. JULIAN:
- 7 O. If we go to 76, if we can scroll to 76.
- 8 76, you allege the following "Mitchell and
- 9 Fitzpatrick and Does 1 through 10 also
- deliberately withheld from the Grand Jury that
- 11 Mitchell was under investigation for multiple
- wrongdoings and that he had brokered a deal with
- 13 Fitzpatrick in 1987 in exchange for expanding
- 14 Hill's time of death to include Friday, March 26,
- 15 1987, Mitchell would receive favorable treatment
- from Fitzpatrick and the other agencies
- 17 investigating Mitchell's illegal conduct." Do
- 18 you see that?
- 19 A. Yep.
- Q. What is your basis for that allegation?
- MR. RICKNER: Objection as to form.
- You can answer.
- A. As I remember, we were in court and
- 24 Doctor Mitchell had with him his attorney Sidney
- Cominsky, and I was standing next to, I believe

- 1 Mr. Fitzpatrick as I recall, and they had a
- 2 discussion about the misconduct of
- 3 Doctor Mitchell and the investigation that was
- 4 ongoing and that -- that is my best recollection.
- 5 I didn't hear him say you can go free if you
- 6 change your testimony. I didn't hear any of
- 7 that. But I know he had a lawyer with him and
- 8 they had a conversation in court.
- 9 Q. What court, when?
- 10 A. It was in Supreme Court. We were in
- 11 front of -- I don't remember the name of the
- judge. It was in the -- well, I think it was the
- Nanette Gordon case I was involved in and they
- were there. It was a guestion of whether or
- not -- well, that doesn't matter. But I was
- 16 there and Doctor Mitchell was there and
- 17 Fitzpatrick was there and Sidney Cominsky was
- 18 there.
- 19 Q. Tell me everything you remember about
- that? First of all, why were you in court?
- 21 A. Doctor Mitchell --
- THE WITNESS: Is it relevant?
- 23 MR. RICKNER: You can answer his
- 24 question.
- THE WITNESS: I can answer his

- 1 question. Okay.
- 2 MR. RICKNER: Go for it.
- 3 A. Doctor Mitchell had issued an autopsy
- 4 report and he had indicated that --
- 5 THE WITNESS: Should I keep
- 6 talking?
- 7 MR. JULIAN: I apologize. My dogs
- 8 like to bark.
- 9 A. -- Doctor Mitchell's death certificate
- 10 was undetermined how she died. I was trying to
- prove to the Court that everything about the
- death to me seemed to be murder and that he
- refused to change the death certificate. I took
- 14 him to court. The Court ordered an autopsy of
- 15 the body and an examination by three independent
- 16 medical examiners. And two decided of the three
- 17 that it was a homicide. And we were in court at
- that time talking about the changing of the death
- 19 certificate. There was some discussion, I think,
- of Doctor Mitchell being one of the people who
- 21 were interested -- we thought he might be
- interested in her death. So we were all in
- court, including his lawyer. We were standing at
- the railing. They were talking. Subsequently
- Doctor Mitchell also testified and became the

- 1 medical examiner in Hector's case and that was
- 2 how I got involved with Hector.
- 3 Q. So what you are describing, being
- 4 present in court with regard to -- I'm sorry if I
- 5 say her name wrong -- Nanette Gordon.
- 6 A. Nanette Gordon. She was a doctor.
- 7 O. This preceded the death of Ms. Hill,
- 8 correct?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. So what you are describing is a
- 11 discussion between District Attorney Fitzpatrick,
- 12 Doctor Mitchell, and Sidney Cominsky that
- occurred before the death of Ms. Hill, correct?
- 14 A. You are absolutely correct. But do you
- want an explanation of why?
- 16 Q. Sure.
- 17 A. There were misdemeanors outstanding
- against Doctor Mitchell for his operation as a
- medical examiner. He was burying body parts. He
- 20 was selling body parts. He was pouring blood
- 21 down the drain. This was all documented by
- 22 people who worked for him. At that point in time
- 23 I think there were over a hundred misdemeanors.
- There might have even been a couple of felonies,
- as I remember. He was being examined, Doctor

- 1 Mitchell was, by the Health Department as to the
- 2 operation of his department. So there was a lot
- 3 going on with Doctor Mitchell at that point. And
- 4 he was, in my opinion, also considered because
- 5 Doctor Gordon had worked as an intern at the
- 6 pathologists at his medical examination. He was
- 7 very much involved and attracted to her. So
- 8 there was a lot going on at the time.
- 9 Q. What year was this?
- 10 A. Well, I would have to look at some
- documents to remember that, but it was prior to
- 12 Hector. I can't remember when Nanette Gordon was
- murdered. No, I can't at this point.
- 14 O. Sure. So it's your testimony that at
- 15 the time you were in court with Sidney Cominsky
- 16 and William Fitzpatrick and Erik Mitchell, Erik
- 17 Mitchell had a hundred misdemeanors pending
- 18 against him?
- 19 A. I think there were -- yeah. I think
- 20 that was what the case was. That was being
- 21 brought up. I was being explained to the Court.
- He had a couple of felonies, as I recall. And he
- was considered involved in the Nanette Gordon's
- death.
- Q. This is before the death of Ms. Hill,

- 1 correct?
- 2 A. Yes. I don't know whether it was or
- 3 not. I can't remember that.
- 4 Q. Tell me everything you remember you
- 5 heard said between Mr. Fitzpatrick, Mr. Cominsky,
- 6 and Doctor Mitchell?
- 7 A. I think I told you. I didn't hear them
- 8 discussing doing away with the charges, but they
- 9 had talked about it. Now, there is also another,
- 10 I think it was Doctor Mengle or one of the
- doctors who were working in his office gave an
- affidavit in a lawsuit that Fitzpatrick came to
- 13 Mitchell and they had a conversation in
- 14 Mitchell's office and this was after Hector had
- died -- not died, but had been convicted. I
- don't know what that was about, but they
- 17 identified the fact that Fitzpatrick and Mitchell
- 18 had a private conversation.
- 19 Q. What else do you have that supports your
- 20 allegation that Mitchell was under
- investigation -- I am looking at paragraph 76 --
- for multiple wrongdoings and that he had brokered
- a deal with Fitzpatrick in 1987 in exchange for
- 24 expanding Hill's time of death to include Friday,
- 25 March 26, 1987?

- 1 A. The fact that Doctor Mitchell left our
- 2 community and resigned. And that this was after
- 3 he had testified. And he left Onondaga County
- 4 with a clean bill of health and everything else
- 5 evaporated. Now, that is an assumption, maybe,
- 6 that I gave it without question, but something
- 7 had taken place between Doctor Mitchell and
- 8 Fitzpatrick. He was under a mentor provided by
- 9 the Mental Health Department because of the
- 10 operation. There were lots of things. He just
- 11 walked away from Onondaga County with a clean
- 12 bill of health.
- 13 Q. So if I understand this allegation, this
- 14 allegation states that a deal had been brokered
- 15 with Fitzpatrick in 1987. Isn't that what the
- 16 allegation says?
- 17 MR. RICKNER: Objection. I don't
- 18 believe that is clear from the sentence.
- 19 BY MR. JULIAN:
- 20 Q. Let me ask it different. Does
- 21 paragraph 76 say that Mitchell had brokered a
- deal with Fitzpatrick in 1987 in exchange for
- expanding Hill's time of death?
- MR. RICKNER: Same objection.

- 1 BY MR. JULIAN:
- Q. Doesn't it say that? Do you agree that
- 3 this allegation identifies 1987 as the date that
- 4 this deal was made with Fitzpatrick?
- 5 MR. RICKNER: Same objection.
- 6 BY MR. JULIAN:
- 7 O. Are you able to answer it sir?
- 8 THE WITNESS: Should I answer it?
- 9 MR. RICKNER: Oh, no, you can
- 10 absolutely answer.
- 11 A. I am sorry. The question was? Just a
- second, let me read it again. Your question to
- me, Mr. Julian?
- 14 BY MR. JULIAN:
- 15 Q. My question to you was and is do you
- allege in paragraph 76 that in 1987 Mitchell
- 17 brokered a deal with Fitzpatrick in exchange for
- 18 expanding Hill's time of death to include
- 19 March 26, 1987, Mitchell would receive favorable
- 20 treatment from Fitzpatrick and other agencies
- investigating Mitchell's illegal conduct?
- MR. RICKNER: Objection to the
- form. You can answer.
- A. He didn't, to my knowledge. And when I
- read the Grand Jury minutes, that was not where

- 1 the deal was made or that he said he had slides,
- 2 but when the jury heard from Fitzpatrick's
- 3 questioning of Doctor Mitchell on the stand that
- 4 there were slides and notes and that was how
- 5 Doctor Mitchell was able to expand his
- 6 justification for changing the time of death.
- Now, you know, with all due respect, he had
- 8 substantiated with the affidavit given to
- 9 Phinney. It was in the newspapers. He told the
- 10 newspapers "I have never met a defendant I
- 11 couldn't convict on the evidence." There were
- too many things going on with Doctor Mitchell.
- And yet, to have him come forward and talk about
- 14 the notes and the slides, they made the
- 15 impression without question that there had been a
- deal made for him to expand the time of death.
- 17 MR. JULIAN: So I am going to
- 18 reserve the right to move to strike the
- unresponsive answers.
- 20 BY MR. JULIAN:
- Q. More specifically, what I am trying to
- glean from you, sir, is are you claiming that
- 23 Fitzpatrick and Mitchell made a deal in 1987
- 24 prior to his Grand Jury testimony that Mitchell
- 25 would receive favorable treatment from

- 1 Fitzpatrick in return for expanding Hill's time
- 2 of death?
- 3 MR. RICKNER: Objection to form.
- 4 You can answer.
- 5 A. Mr. Julian, you are going to have to
- 6 read the affidavit and the testimony of
- 7 Doctor Cheryl Wachtler as to how you determine
- 8 the time of death. I can only assume that he
- 9 walked away from Onondaga County over his head in
- 10 concerns and did not take one bad thought with
- 11 him. Now, he testified to the slides. There
- weren't any slides, none. There were two
- pictures is all there was. It had to be
- 14 something to give him the benefit of the doubt
- 15 that he moved forward on behalf of District
- 16 Attorney Fitzpatrick.
- 17 BY MR. JULIAN:
- 18 Q. So let me repeat the question again.
- 19 Are you saying that a deal was brokered to expand
- the time of death with Fitzpatrick and Mitchell
- 21 before Mitchell's Grand Jury testimony, yes or
- 22 no?
- 23 A. I can only assume.
- Q. Okay. Paragraph 77 you state upon
- information and belief, Mitchell repeated to the

- 1 Grand Jury the false and fabricated allegations
- 2 he had forwarded to the District Attorney and
- 3 which formed the basis of Hector Rivas'
- 4 prosecution. Why did you make this allegation
- 5 upon information and belief?
- 6 MR. RICKNER: Objection to form.
- 7 A. Because I subsequently found out of the
- 8 testimony on the affidavit from Doctor Wachtler
- 9 and looking at the slides which we subsequently
- found and found that there weren't slides, there
- were pictures. Now, he performed the autopsy and
- he testified as to rigor mortis and all of that.
- And yet subsequently it turned out that he was
- 14 not testifying with medical accuracy. He was
- 15 falsifying information as to what he found. Now,
- that is what I read. I read most of all the
- 17 testimonies, if I can remember. I just assumed
- 18 that there was a deal.
- 19 BY MR. JULIAN:
- Q. Okay. When you say I just assumed that
- 21 there was a deal --
- 22 A. Upon information and belief.
- Q. -- you assumed there was a deal -- if I
- 24 could just finish -- between Fitzpatrick and
- 25 Mitchell to obtain false testimony from Mitchell,

- 1 is that what you are saying?
- 2 MR. RICKNER: Object to the form.
- 3 A. No. I don't think it was false.
- 4 Whether it was false testimony or not, it
- 5 subsequently came out, yeah. His testimony that
- 6 he gave to the Grand Jury.
- 7 BY MR. JULIAN:
- 8 Q. My problem is paragraph 77 says Mitchell
- 9 repeated to the Grand Jury the false and
- 10 fabricated allegations. What false and
- fabricated allegations are you talking about?
- 12 A. You should have heard the summation.
- 13 You should have heard the closing by
- 14 Mr. Fitzpatrick who said clearly that there were
- 15 slides and what kind of slides that there were.
- 16 That wasn't true. There were no slides prepared
- by Doctor Mitchell. He didn't even have the
- 18 brain. It was done by Doctor Collins at, I think
- one of the Universities at Upstate. He got a
- 20 report from Doctor Collins that said clearly
- 21 there was no abnormalities in the brain. Yet he
- used that to extend the time of death.
- MR. JULIAN: I am going to move to
- 24 strike as nonresponsive.

- 1 BY MR. JULIAN:
- 2 Q. Let me ask you this question. You keep
- 3 referring to slides. Were the slides that you
- 4 saw Kodachrome slides?
- 5 A. What were they?
- 6 Q. Kodachrome, photographic Kodachrome-s?
- 7 MR. RICKNER: Are you asking if
- 8 they were old school white slides with
- 9 film inside?
- MR. JULIAN: Yes.
- 11 A. It was just a picture of the brain in
- 12 formaldehyde in a jar.
- 13 BY MR. JULIAN:
- 14 O. Do you know if the original photographs
- 15 were Kodachrome slides?
- 16 A. I don't know what that means, Julian,
- 17 Mr. Julian. They were slides. Somebody took a
- 18 picture. They were two slides and they were of
- 19 the brain. That's all there were. There was no
- 20 dissecting of the brain. There were no slides as
- 21 we know them when you do a frog in botany.
- 22 Q. So Mr. Manes, we have gone through the
- 23 Grand Jury testimony. There was no mention of
- 24 slides by Doctor Mitchell to the Grand Jury,
- 25 correct?

- 1 A. Correct. As I read, there was no slides
- 2 mentioned. If that was the total testimony, then
- 3 there were no slides mentioned.
- 4 Q. Do you have any reason to believe it
- 5 wasn't the total testimony?
- 6 A. I am sorry, say that again.
- 7 Q. Do you have any reason to believe that
- 8 you weren't provided with the total testimony
- 9 here?
- 10 A. I can't answer that. I have no idea.
- 11 Q. Well, you have seen the Grand Jury
- testimony before many times, correct?
- 13 A. No, not many times, no. But I remember
- 14 the Grand Jury. I remember him saying, he was
- 15 asked, how did he extended time. And he said
- 16 that he examined his notes and the slides and
- 17 that was how he determined to extend the time of
- 18 the murder from Saturday night, Sunday to Friday
- 19 night.
- Q. Mr. Manes, are you talking about the
- 21 Grand Jury testimony or the trial testimony?
- 22 A. I think the trial. You showed me
- 23 the testimony, that it was the trial.
- 24 Q. I have been repeatedly asking you
- 25 questions at this point about the Grand Jury.

1	You know	that, correct?
2	Α.	You showed it to me, I think. I hope
3	that was	the Grand Jury.
4		MR. RICKNER: Mr. Julian, Mr. Manes
5		is here to talk about his personal
6		knowledge. Asking him what he remembers
7		about documents that he didn't author,
8		didn't personally litigate is not really
9		that helpful. It's essentially hearsay
10		testimony. If you want the theory of
11		the case, I will pick up the phone and
12		run you through it. But asking my
13		client whether or not he can identify
14		every piece of the theory of the case
15		from his own personal memory is
16		essentially a waste of time legally. He
17		is 96 years old. If you want to spend
18		hours and hours doing it, fine.
19		Eventually we are going to move the
20		Judge and say look, no useful questions
21		are being asked here. You can ask him
22		about Hector. You can ask him what he
23		knows.
24		MR. JULIAN: You know, Mr. Rickner,
25		I have done this for a long time. I

	SIDNEY L. MANES BY MR. JULIAN
1	really do not need instruction from you,
2	with all due respect.
3	MR. RICKNER: You may not need
4	instruction, but I am putting my
5	position on the record.
6	MR. JULIAN: That's fine. You put
7	your position on the record.
8	MR. RICKNER: Asking him about
9	documents he didn't author, he didn't
10	litigate, he didn't even see until years
11	after the conviction and trying to
12	ask
13	MR. JULIAN: He is the plaintiff.
14	He made these allegations. Not only
15	that, he was the attorney for this
16	decedent for years.
17	MR. RICKNER: Years later. You can
18	ask him about those. But his opinion or
19	the documents is not relevant.
20	MR. JULIAN: We will have a long
21	discussion about that, Mr. Rickner. But
22	I think the rules allow me to inquire.
23	MR. RICKNER: You can ask whatever
24	you want.
25	MR. JULIAN: Quite frankly, I

	SIDNEY L. MANES BY MR. JULIAN
1	object to your speech. I don't think
2	under the rules you are entitled to give
3	that speech. But if you would like to
4	consult with the Magistrate and you
5	would like to do it now, I am happy to
6	do it.
7	MR. RICKNER: I am happy to let you
8	finish your testimony because we only
9	have a few hours today. But we should
10	discuss the continued progress.
11	Mr. Manes is 96 years old. If you want
12	to make productive use of the
13	questioning, you can. But if you don't,
14	I think there is a time that we are
15	going to ask to put a stop to it.
16	MR. JULIAN: Look, I am done being
17	insulted by you. I have questions to
18	ask of this man.
19	MR. RICKNER: I am not trying to
20	insult you, sir.
21	MR. JULIAN: Well, you are. I have
22	been nothing but polite and nothing but
23	solicitous of this gentleman.
24	Continuing to repeat his age is
25	inferring that I am being anything but.

- 1 MR. RICKNER: I'm just stating the
- 2 facts.
- MR. JULIAN: I don't appreciate it.
- I am ready to call the magistrate right
- 5 now if you want.
- 6 MR. RICKNER: I am saying you can
- 7 continue the deposition. I have placed
- 8 our position on the record.
- 9 MR. JULIAN: Thank you.
- 10 BY MR. JULIAN:
- 11 Q. In any event, Mr. Manes, you, as the
- 12 plaintiff in this case, have made allegations
- with regard to the Grand Jury testimony, correct?
- 14 A. Yes. Upon information and belief.
- 15 Q. Not all. Let me ask you this.
- 16 A. I read them, Mr. Julian, I did. I just
- 17 read them.
- 18 Q. So now I would like to turn -- in terms
- 19 of your knowledge, tell me this. When was the
- deal made in terms of a date, a time and place
- 21 between Fitzpatrick and Mitchell, when was the
- deal that you are alleging in paragraphs up
- through 83, when was that deal made?
- A. You would have to read the testimony and
- 25 the affidavit and the complaint that was filed by

- 1 Doctor Mangle and Doctor Rigle and Sawyer. These
- were men who worked in the Medical Examiner's
- 3 Office at the time of Gordon's death. And I
- 4 believe they were still there when Hector was
- 5 incarcerated and found quilty. I think they were
- 6 there at that time as well. You have to read
- 7 those and they mention the meetings between
- 8 Fitzpatrick and Mangle -- Doctor Mitchell.
- 9 Q. So it's your testimony that these three
- doctors Mangle, Rigle, and Sawyer all referenced
- 11 a deal made between William Fitzpatrick and
- 12 Doctor Mitchell with regard to Doctor Mitchell's
- 13 testimony in the Rivas case?
- 14 MR. RICKNER: Objection. You can
- answer.
- 16 A. I don't know whether it was the Rivas
- 17 case per se, but they met with -- Fitzpatrick met
- 18 with Mitchell. All I can assume is when all of
- these claims that were made by the DEC, by the
- 20 Mental Health Department appointing a mentor over
- 21 Doctor Mitchell's operation as the medical
- 22 examiner, the hearing that was held by the
- 23 Department of Mental Health, the appointment of
- the mentor, and then his subsequently leaving
- 25 here after he testified in the Hill case and

- 1 the -- I don't want to get in to that case. He
- 2 left here with a clean bill of health.
- 3 Q. You may have said that before.
- 4 A. I am assuming that there had to be some
- 5 sort of meeting between Doctor Mitchell and
- 6 Fitzpatrick to have worked out some sort of
- 7 arrangement. It certainly seems to me to be the
- 8 case.
- 9 Q. As a practicing lawyer, was it your
- 10 custom and practice to meet with witnesses before
- 11 they testified in a trial?
- 12 A. Oh, absolutely.
- Q. Would you agree that that is good legal
- 14 practice?
- 15 A. Yes. I would agree that that is good
- 16 legal practice.
- 17 O. Do you know whether William Fitzpatrick
- ever in any of his meetings with Doctor Mitchell
- was meeting with him to prepare him for trial?
- 20 A. I don't know. I have no idea what that
- 21 meeting was about other than the fact that he
- 22 left here with a clean bill of health after his
- 23 testimony.
- Q. Now, I would like to ask you some
- questions, if we could scroll to paragraph 99,

- 1 please. Do you need -- I am going to ask you
- 2 some questions about this paragraph. Would
- 3 you like -- if you want to read any of the
- 4 language before it or afterward, just tell me.
- 5 Okay?
- 6 A. I want to do what?
- 7 MR. RICKNER: If you want to read
- 8 the rest of the Complaint, he will let
- 9 you or you can listen to his question
- 10 and then decide if you want to.
- 11 A. Let me hear the question.
- 12 BY MR. JULIAN:
- Q. You got it. So paragraph 99 you state
- "Mitchell further testified that when he reviewed
- 15 autopsy sectional slides of Hill's brain before
- trial, he noticed in them "some decompensation to
- 17 the brain". This, he states "tends to push the
- 18 (time) limits further out." Do you see that?
- 19 A. Yes, I see it.
- Q. I would like to ask you to turn --
- MR. JULIAN: Tim, can we pull up
- JJ, please?
- 23 (Whereupon, a discussion was held
- off the record.)

- 1 BY MR. JULIAN:
- 2 O. Let the record show that Exhibit JJ is
- 3 the trial testimony of Erik Mitchell, both his
- direct, cross, and redirect. Now, have you
- 5 reviewed this testimony recently?
- 6 A. No. And I -- no. And I was not at
- 7 trial.
- Q. Well, you have referenced the testimony
- 9 frequently during -- the trial testimony
- 10 frequently during your testimony here, haven't
- 11 you?
- 12 A. Did I what?
- 13 MR. RICKNER: Objection. He is
- 14 saying have you referenced Erik
- 15 Mitchell's testimony during your
- 16 testimony here today?
- 17 A. Okay.
- 18 BY MR. JULIAN:
- 19 Q. Okay means yes?
- 20 A. I still don't understand your question.
- Q. I will try to do better. You have made
- 22 reference in your testimony here today to
- 23 statements that Doctor Mitchell made before the
- jury at the Rivas trial, correct?
- 25 A. I am sorry. I still don't understand.

- 1 Q. By all means. In your testimony earlier
- 2 this afternoon, you have talked about your
- 3 recollection of what Doctor Mitchell said at
- 4 Hector's trial, correct?
- 5 A. Only through my lawyer. I wasn't at the
- 6 trial.
- 7 Q. You have read the transcript before
- 8 coming here today, correct?
- 9 MR. RICKNER: Objection to form.
- 10 Have you ever read Erik Mitchell's trial
- 11 testimony ever?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 BY MR. JULIAN:
- 14 Q. How many times have you read it?
- 15 A. I don't know. Maybe once, maybe twice.
- I read it afterward. I wasn't at the trial
- 17 certainly.
- 18 Q. I understand you weren't at the trial.
- 19 So it's your testimony that you have only read
- 20 Doctor Mitchell's trial testimony once or twice
- in all the time that you represented Hector Rivas
- and in all the time of this lawsuit?
- A. Yes. Yeah.
- Q. Okay. Is it your testimony that
- 25 Doctor Mitchell referred to sectional slides in

- 1 his testimony at the trial?
- 2 A. No. I don't believe that was my
- 3 testimony because I didn't hear him say that.
- 4 The only time I heard of sectional slides,
- 5 autopsy slides was by Fitzpatrick. That's what
- 6 he told the jury. That's what I read.
- 7 O. So let's go back to paragraph 99 of
- 8 Exhibit A. Did you allege in paragraph 99 of
- 9 Exhibit A "Mitchell further testified when he
- 10 reviewed autopsy sectional slides of Hill's brain
- 11 before trial, he noticed in them some
- decomposition to the brain. This he stated
- tenders to push the time limits further out."
- 14 Did you make that allegation?
- 15 MR. RICKNER: Form. You can
- answer.
- 17 A. I do not recall my saying that he
- 18 reviewed autopsy sectional slides. His
- 19 testimony, as I recall, was he reviewed his notes
- and the slides. The autopsy sectional slides
- came from Fitzpatrick as he pounded the table on
- 22 summation.
- 23 BY MR. JULIAN:
- Q. How do you know that if you weren't at
- 25 the trial?

- 1 A. Do what?
- 2 Q. How do you know he pounded the table?
- 3 A. Well, that's what I just thought that
- 4 that is what he did and he did it well.
- 5 Q. You were not there?
- 6 A. No, I was not there. Absolutely.
- 7 MR. RICKNER: Is this a metaphor?
- 8 THE WITNESS: Yes, exactly.
- 9 BY MR. JULIAN:
- 10 Q. Do you know if either Fitzpatrick or
- 11 Mitchell referred to the slides as sectional
- 12 tissue slides at any time?
- MR. RICKNER: Objection. You can
- 14 answer.
- 15 A. I am sorry. I don't remember. I don't
- remember that, whether that came from Fitzpatrick
- or that came from Doctor Mitchell or from the
- 18 summation.
- 19 BY MR. JULIAN:
- Q. Well, may I ask the question in a
- 21 different way. What I am trying to determine is
- 22 if you remember either Mitchell or Fitzpatrick
- ever referring to the slides as sectional tissue
- 24 slides?
- 25 A. Only reading the testimony and the

- 1 summation by Fitzpatrick do I recall Fitzpatrick
- 2 saying that. He expanded on the slides, you see.
- 3 Q. Is it your memory that he referred to
- 4 the slides as tissue slides?
- 5 A. I am sorry. I don't remember whether it
- 6 was tissue slides or sectional slides to give the
- 7 impression that they were slides of the brain.
- 8 That's how he learned of the decompensation and
- 9 was able to extend the time of death.
- 10 Q. Do you recall appearing before the
- 11 Second Circuit Court of Appeals in this case --
- 12 MR. JULIAN: Strike that.
- 13 BY MR. JULIAN:
- 14 O. Do you recall appearing before the
- 15 Second Circuit Court of Appeals on April 6, 2016?
- 16 A. Can you show me -- I mean, why were we
- 17 there at the Second Circuit because we were there
- 18 frequently.
- 19 Q. Let me give you a document, Mr. Manes.
- 20 A. All right.
- 21 Q. That's fine.
- MR. JULIAN: Tim, could you bring
- 23 up Exhibit Y, please, which I am
- identifying as a document entitled Show
- Cause Hearing in the matter of Hector

- 1 Rivas against Fischer April 6, 2016.
- 2 (Whereupon, a discussion was held
- 3 off the record.)
- 4 BY MR. JULIAN:
- 5 Q. Does it show you being present?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Do you remember this?
- 8 A. I remember. If you show me the
- 9 documents, it will refresh my recollection.
- 10 Q. Sure.
- 11 MR. JULIAN: Tim, let's go to Bates
- 12 number 185373.
- 13 (Whereupon, a discussion was held
- off the record.)
- 15 BY MR. JULIAN:
- 16 Q. You see where it says may we have the
- appearance of counsel stated for the record?
- 18 A. Yep.
- 19 Q. Do you see there is an attribution to
- you, Mr. Manes, it says Sidney Manes?
- 21 A. Yes. I was there, absolutely.
- 22 Q. You were appearing as counsel for Hector
- 23 Rivas?
- MR. RICKNER: Objection.
- 25 A. I was his pro bono counsel. Mr. Langone

1	was his counsel and so was Mr. Klein, but that
2	the Supreme Court case. And so was Kim Zimmer.
3	MR. RICKNER: Can we actually take
4	a break? We have gone going about an
5	hour and twenty minutes.
6	MR. JULIAN: Sure. The rule is.
7	MR. RICKNER: I wait until a
8	question is finished and then I ask.
9	MR. JULIAN: Thank you.
10	VIDEOGRAPHER POTTER: The time is
11	3:18 p.m. We are off the record.
12	(Whereupon, a brief recess was
13	taken.)
14	VIDEOGRAPHER POTTER: The time is
15	3:24 p.m. We are on the record.
16	MR. RICKNER: Mr. Manes, you just
17	had a clarification regarding the
18	representation. Would you like to
19	explain that to, Mr. Julian.
20	A. Mr. Julian, I was never the real
21	attorney of record. I was merely a pro bono
22	counsel to whom Hector wrote a letter asking me
23	to help him. I was impressed with Hector and
24	what he wrote me. And as a result of that, I
25	started to get FOIL requests on his behalf. But

- I was never his attorney of record, though, I
- 2 went to all the hearings on the Second Circuit
- 3 and I subsequently wrote some documents on
- 4 Hector's behalf. But Langone was his lawyer,
- 5 Klein was his lawyer, Schumann was his lawyer,
- 6 Fahey was his lawyers. Those were the lawyers
- 7 that handled the legal aspect.
- 8 Q. How about Priest?
- 9 A. I was pro bono counsel.
- 10 Q. Was Priest his lawyer also?
- 11 A. Yeah, Priest was his lawyer too, I
- 12 think.
- 13 Q. So thank you for that. So we are at the
- 14 Second Circuit, correct?
- 15 A. Yeah, we are at the Second Circuit, yes.
- This was a very important meeting, as I remember.
- 17 Q. Why was it important?
- 18 A. I beg your pardon?
- 19 Q. I apologize. I will try to speak
- 20 louder. Why was it important?
- 21 A. Well, he wanted all counsel there, the
- judges there, the three panel. They wanted all
- the lawyers there to talk about how to get Hector
- out of jail. They had determined that he was
- actually innocent and they sent it back for him

- 1 to be released until the trial. They ordered a
- 2 new trial on his behalf. Judge Miller, who was
- 3 then the judge because Kilroy had died, he set
- 4 bail at the request of the District Attorney, he
- 5 asked for a million and the judge gave him
- 6 500,000. I got it -- we when to the Appellate
- 7 Division and they reduced it to 200,000. He had
- 8 no money. He had no way of getting out. He had
- 9 no place to run. Judge Miller wouldn't let him
- 10 out. So he wanted everybody there because the
- 11 Court then was going to review and then make a
- decision and send it to Judge Miller. That's
- 13 what it was all about.
- 14 O. So just if we could take a quick trip
- 15 through this transcript, sir.
- 16 A. It was rather long.
- 17 Q. That's why I said a guick trip.
- 18 A. All right.
- MR. JULIAN: If we could go to
- 20 page 185391.
- 21 (Whereupon, a discussion was held
- off the record.)
- 23 BY MR. JULIAN:
- Q. At this point of the transcript do you
- remember discussing with the Second Circuit what

- 1 documents had been received and were available at
- 2 this stage of the case, received through FOIL and
- 3 other means, do you recall discussing that with
- 4 the Second Circuit?
- 5 A. No, I don't recall that. I did the
- 6 FOILing of requests. I remember them asking me a
- 7 guestion. There were documents I FOILed.
- 8 Q. Okay. If we could go to the bottom of
- 9 the page here, do you see your name where you
- 10 address the Court?
- 11 A. Yeah, I see that.
- 12 Q. If you would like to look at what you
- have to say on pages 391 through 393, if you
- 14 would just like to read through it for a minute,
- I have a couple questions for you. Okay?
- 16 A. Yeah.
- 17 O. Thank you.
- 18 (Whereupon, a discussion was held
- off the record.)
- 20 A. Do you want me to read the whole page?
- 21 BY MR. JULIAN:
- Q. I will leave it up to you. You can read
- any and all of that. I am respectfully
- suggesting we start with what you had to say at
- 25 the bottom of the page. Then go to the next page

- of transcript, which is page 393. We have a
- 2 series of blank pages in this transcript, that's
- 3 how I received it.
- 4 A. Okay. I finished that page.
- 5 Q. Do you remember the context in which you
- 6 were discussing the documents that you had
- 7 obtained with the Second Circuit?
- 8 A. Yeah, I recall that. That was
- 9 Mr. Langone, though, not me. I was there on a
- 10 440 Motion, yes.
- 11 Q. Were you telling the Second Circuit that
- there were a number of documents that you had
- obtained and that there were not any new
- 14 documents provided?
- 15 A. I think I was saying that, that we had
- 16 those documents and I had forwarded them on to
- 17 Mr. Klein. He had them. There was a particular
- 18 reason why the Court wanted some information
- about that. Because at that time they were
- 20 supposed to have proceeded with some alacrity and
- 21 to try Mr. Rivas, either that or let him go until
- the trial. The Court wanted to know if those
- 23 were records that the District Attorney provided.
- But they had been provided before. That was not
- 25 the issue. They had them. We had them. The

- 1 difficulty was Mr. Moran, that he was not ready
- for trial, though he kept saying he was.
- 3 MR. JULIAN: Would you kind enough
- 4 to go to page 185417, please. Actually,
- 5 Tim, I misspoke, please, 415.
- 6 (Whereupon, a discussion was held
- 7 off the record.)
- 8 BY MR. JULIAN:
- 9 Q. Again, you are entitled to read whatever
- 10 you need to read, sir. I would like to direct
- 11 your attention to the Assistant Attorney General
- 12 Ms. Steward's comments on this page. What she
- says "Actually, my recollection is the People had
- 14 represented that the investigation did not begin
- until after the trial was over." I believe she
- is referencing the investigation by the DA's
- 17 Office of Doctor Mitchell. And the trial that
- 18 she was referring to is Hector's trial. Do you
- 19 remember this, does this refresh your
- 20 recollection?
- 21 A. Can I see the rest of that, please? Can
- I see the rest of that?
- 23 Q. Go to page 419.
- A. I don't know what she is referring to.
- 25 144 misdemeanors for disposing of body parts, for

- 1 pouring material, blood, yeah.
- 2 Q. May I ask you a couple questions?
- 3 A. That's exactly what I said. I am trying
- 4 to tell the truth here.
- 5 O. Okay. Did you say -- is the transcript
- 6 correct on page Bates number 185419 at line 7 did
- 7 you say "if you will forgive me, Your Honor, she
- 8 indicates the DA wasn't investigating, that may
- 9 be true." Did you see that?
- 10 A. Let me read the rest of it.
- 11 Q. Sure.
- 12 A. Okay.
- Q. Are you finished reading it?
- 14 A. Yep, I have read it.
- 15 Q. So to go back to my question. Did you
- say "if you will forgive me, Your Honor, she
- 17 indicates that the DA wasn't investigating, that
- may be true." Did you say that?
- 19 A. It's possible, yes. I don't remember.
- It was so long ago, but it's possible.
- Q. Were you conceding in that sentence that
- the District Attorney was not investigating?
- 23 A. It wasn't his job. That was the DEC's
- job to investigate environmental concerns. He
- 25 would have prosecuted Mitchell for the

- 1 misdemeanors, absolutely. So he wasn't
- 2 investigating. It was the DEC that did the
- 3 investigating.
- 4 O. This would have been at the time of the
- 5 Rivas trial, correct?
- 6 A. This was the time of when, Mr. Justin --
- 7 Mr. Julian, excuse me.
- 8 Q. You can call me whatever you want, sir.
- 9 Believe me, I have been called worse. What you
- are conceding here is that the District Attorney
- was not the investigating Mitchell for the
- 12 environmental conservation issues at the time of
- the Rivas trial, correct?
- 14 A. Yeah. That was the DEC.
- 15 Q. Okay.
- 16 A. The Department of Environmental
- 17 Conservation, not the District Attorney. They
- were to turn the claims over to the DA to
- 19 prosecute.
- Q. Thank you.
- 21 A. Judge Pooler said he knew about the DEC
- 22 to the best of our knowledge. That was Judge
- 23 Pooler who said that.
- Q. I am not sure -- what are you referring
- 25 to, sir?

- 1 MR. RICKNER: The next line.
- 2 A. The next line.
- 3 BY MR. JULIAN:
- 4 Q. Well, that's a question, isn't it?
- 5 A. Yeah. There is no evidence that the DEC
- 6 was investigating.
- 7 Q. She asked the question. The next line,
- 8 line 14 says Judge Pooler "he knew about the DEC,
- 9 to the best of our knowledge."
- 10 A. Yeah.
- 11 Q. The Assistant Attorney General,
- 12 Ms. Steward, said "we have no evidence of that".
- 13 A. Well, she is in Albany.
- 14 Q. Okay. Was any evidence given to the
- 15 Second Circuit on this day that the District
- 16 Attorney --
- 17 A. I have no idea.
- 18 Q. -- knew of this investigation at the
- 19 time of the Rivas trial?
- 20 A. He is the District Attorney for the
- 21 County who is going to prosecute Doctor Mitchell
- for the misdemeanors. I don't know, Mr. Julian,
- 23 whether or not the DEC told the DA or the DA knew
- it or they sent them over to him to prosecute, I
- don't know that, if he was aware.

- 1 Q. Did you say you don't know that, but he
- was aware or you don't know if he was aware?
- 3 A. I don't know if the DA was aware, but I
- 4 must assume as the County District Attorney that
- 5 he would have known of the misdemeanors.
- 6 Q. Well, the question is whether he would
- 7 have known of DEC's investigation before they
- 8 proffered any charges, do you know that one way
- 9 or the other?
- 10 A. No, I don't know that.
- 11 Q. At this same hearing you were again
- 12 heard at page 185451.
- MR. JULIAN: Timothy, would you
- take us there, please?
- 15 (Whereupon, a discussion was held
- off the record.)
- 17 BY MR. JULIAN:
- 18 Q. Now, Mr. Manes, just to give you some
- 19 context and you are welcome to read whatever you
- 20 need to. There is a discussion --
- MR. RICKNER: Please scroll up and
- 22 let him see the question he is being
- asked before he talks about the
- response.
- MR. JULIAN: I'm sorry.

	SIDNEY L. MANES BY MR. JULIAN
1	MR. RICKNER: I said please scroll
2	up so he can see the Judge's question
3	before he considers his response. Let's
4	see the preamble for this.
5	MR. JULIAN: I thought you might
6	want to know the question, but that's
7	fine.
8	MR. RICKNER: Well, it looks like
9	the question isn't really the
10	immediately proceeding question doesn't
11	get in to the topic.
12	MR. JULIAN: No, no. I mean, I
13	thought you wanted to hear my question
14	so he could read the document in that
15	context. That's up to you. I will do
16	it either way. It doesn't matter.
17	MR. RICKNER: Can you go up to the
18	top of the page. It's not clear who is
19	speaking.
20	A. It's not. It's Moran. He is the
21	Assistant District Attorney. Would you scroll
22	up, please?
23	(Whereupon, a discussion was held
24	off the record.)
25	A. I don't think that's true.

- 1 MR. RICKNER: Let him ask the
- 2 question. I also don't think it's true.
- 3 But take a look at the document and see
- 4 what Mr. Julian wants to ask about it.
- 5 A. Okay. I have read that.
- 6 BY MR. JULIAN:
- 7 Q. Have you read page 451? Please read
- 8 that also.
- 9 A. Yeah, okay. What is the question?
- 10 Q. Were you ever provided with a report
- 11 with regard to the presence of fingerprints on
- the book and specifically those of Hector Rivas?
- 13 A. To the best of my knowledge, Mr. Julian,
- 14 we had information that there were three prints
- on the book and none of them were Hector's.
- 16 Q. Okay. I am not going to hold you to the
- 17 exact date --
- 18 MR. RICKNER: Mr. Julian, don't cut
- off the witness. Continue, Mr. Manes.
- MR. JULIAN: I'm sorry.
- 21 A. That was part of the FOIL request that I
- 22 made. All that material was given to us, that
- was thirty years ago. I don't know how long ago,
- but it was a long time ago. We were advised and
- 25 we had a report that showed there were three

- 1 prints and they were not Hector's and they didn't
- 2 know whose they were. So that was brand new for
- 3 us without question.
- 4 BY MR. JULIAN:
- 5 Q. Are you finished?
- 6 A. Yes, I am.
- 7 Q. I never intend to cut you off. So if I
- 8 am cutting you of off, tell me.
- 9 A. That's all right.
- 10 Q. After this, after the appearance on
- 11 April 6, 2016, were you given a further report by
- the District Attorney's office with regard to the
- presence of Hector Rivas' prints on this library
- 14 book?
- MR. RICKNER: Form. You can
- answer.
- 17 A. Not that I remember.
- 18 BY MR. JULIAN:
- 19 Q. If that information were going to be
- 20 provided, would it have been provided to you or
- 21 someone else?
- MR. RICKNER: Form. You can
- answer.
- A. It would have gone to Mr. Klein who was
- 25 his lawyer at that time. I do not recall it

- 1 coming to me. It may have, but I don't recall
- 2 that. Forgive me. I know there was never -- if
- 3 you will forgive me, there was never a reference
- 4 to Valerie Hill's fingerprints were on the book.
- 5 There were fingerprints they never identified,
- 6 that I recall, but not Hector's.
- 7 O. I apologize again. Are you finished?
- 8 A. Okay. Yes, I am.
- 9 Q. I mean, it's very hard on this --
- 10 A. I agree.
- 11 Q. -- format.
- MR. JULIAN: How are we doing, Rob?
- I am going to move in to a new area.
- MR. RICKNER: My clock was 3:53.
- MR. JULIAN: Do you want to do
- another seven minutes?
- 17 MR. RICKNER: The question is do
- 18 you want to do another seven minutes?
- MR. JULIAN: I am fine. I can do
- another seventy.
- MR. RICKNER: We would object to
- that, but you have the rest of the hour.
- MR. JULIAN: Thanks.
- 24 BY MR. JULIAN:
- Q. Mr. Manes, I would now like to ask you

- 1 about Exhibit U.
- 2 MR. JULIAN: Tim, could you pull it
- 3 up for us? Could you go to the bottom,
- 4 please? Could you scroll downward,
- 5 please? Can you just scroll that,
- 6 please. Go to page 185046, please.
- 7 BY MR. JULIAN:
- 8 Q. So Mr. Manes, let the record show that
- 9 as part of Exhibit U is a transcript of an
- appearance on March 18, 2016 before Onondaga
- 11 County Judge Thomas J. Miller. Are you shown as
- appearing on that day with Mr. Klein, Ms. Zimmer,
- and Casey Johnson, yes, no, maybe?
- 14 MR. RICKNER: He wants to know if
- 15 you appeared.
- 16 A. Oh, I appeared, absolutely, to the best
- of my knowledge.
- 18 BY MR. JULIAN:
- 19 Q. Can we go to page 185049. Toward the
- bottom of the page do you see where Mr. Klein
- 21 speaks. I would ask you if you would be kind
- enough to read what he says at page 185049, 050,
- 23 051. My question is a simple one. Do you agree
- 24 with what he says?
- MR. RICKNER: Objection. You can

SIDNEY L. MANES BY MR. JULIAN

1	answer.
2	BY MR. JULIAN:
3	Q. I guess did you agree with what he said?
4	MR. RICKNER: Objection. Different
5	question.
6	A. It wasn't my job to agree or disagree.
7	I wasn't asked. Mr. Klein was the attorney of
8	record. There were many obstacles, I can tell
9	you that. We couldn't get the DNA, the dairy and
10	the second type. There were so many things they
11	hadn't provided. Yes, he was cooperative, but
12	Mr. Klein had to go over and dig for them. You
13	got to ask Mr. Klein whether he was satisfied.
14	It certainly was objectionable.
15	MR. JULIAN: Are we at
16	4:00 o'clock?
17	MR. RICKNER: We are. While we are
18	still on the record, I would just ask
19	that well, plaintiff's obviously will
20	do this, but if the defense counsel
21	could please provide as many dates as he
22	can in the next three weeks, we would
23	really like to just get this done. I
24	understand that we all have crowded

schedules, but time is of the essence as

	SIDNEY L. MANES BY MR. JULIAN
1	well. If you could just spit out three,
2	four weeks worth of possible dates, half
3	dates since we are doing this in two
4	hour blocks, that would be very helpful.
5	MR. JULIAN: Sure.
6	MR. VENTRONE: The County has no
7	objection.
8	MR. JULIAN: Where do you stand,
9	Rob, with the information, tapes and
10	whatever that the County sent to you?
11	MR. RICKNER: It's voluminous.
12	It's immense. And going through it has
13	been extraordinarily time consuming. We
14	have probably sixty hours worth of
15	audio.
16	MR. JULIAN: Okay.
17	MR. RICKNER: But I am happy to
18	discuss that with you tomorrow. I am
19	free all afternoon.
20	MR. JULIAN: Okay. I am not
21	available tomorrow. I apologize.
22	MR. RICKNER: Email me your
23	availability. I will pick up the phone
24	and we will talk.
25	MR. JULIAN: I will give you a

	SIDNEY L. MANES BY MR. JULIAN
1	call. I am not putting you on the spot
2	it's just while we are here, I was
3	wondering.
4	MR. RICKNER: It's a fair question
5	I just don't have a better answer today
6	MR. JULIAN: That's a good answer
7	for the moment.
8	VIDEOGRAPHER POTTER: The time is
9	4:02 p.m. We are off record.
10	(Whereupon, the Witness is
11	excused.)
12	THE PROCEEDINGS WERE ENDED.
13	**0**
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	SIGNATURE PAGE
2	
3	STATE OF NEW YORK)
4) CERTIFICATE OF WITNESS
5	COUNTY OF)
6	
7	I, SIDNEY L. MANES, hereby certify that I have
8	read the transcript of my testimony taken under
9	oath on August 22, 2022; that the transcript is a
10	true, complete, and correct record of what was
11	asked, answered, and said on the record as given
12	by me are true and correct.
13	
14	SIDNEY L. MANES
15	
16	
17	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me, the
18	undersigned authority, on this day of
19	, 2022.
20	
21	NOTARY PUBLIC
22	NOTAKI I ODDIC
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I, ANGELA S. STANGEL, Court
4	Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State
5	of New York, do hereby certify that I recorded
6	stenographically the foregoing at the time and
7	place mentioned and that the preceding is a true
8	and correct transcript thereof to the best of my
9	knowledge, ability, and belief.
10	
11	I further certify that I am not an
12	attorney or counsel of any parties, not a
13	relative or employee of any attorney or counsel
14	connected with the action, nor financially
15	interested in the action.
16	
17	WITNESS, my hand and seal in the
18	County of Oneida, State of New York.
19	
20	
21	ANGELA S. STANGEL
22	
23	Court Reporter
24	
25	